Be the Master Chef of Your Own Unique Investment Recipe

Back in June of 1992 and today, I stress to my readers the importance of balance and diversity in their portfolios. Back then I wrote:

Fine Balance From a Master Chef

Did you happen to see the McIlhenny & Co. recent advertisement for the company's Tabasco brand pepper sauce? The ad caught my eye because it ran a photo and culinary commentary from Susan Spicer, chef/owner of Bayona, a New Orleans restaurant most deserving of the recognition it is receiving. In the ad, Susan tells readers, "In my approach to creating dishes, balance is a primary concern. I love playing with the palette of sweet, sour, salty, hot and bitter flavors, so it's exciting to find one product that incorporates several of these properties."

Balance—from Chef/Owner Susan Spicer to Your Portfolio

Well, if you have sampled Susan Spicer's culinary genius, you know firsthand that she is a true master of balance. It was fun for me to see her picture in Food & Wine, and as I read her words on balancing flavors and incorporating exciting properties, I thought about how Susan's approach to pleasing the palette is much the same as the investment approach I use for you here. The sweet, sour, salty, hot and bitter flavors that combine to highlight Bayona's wonderful culinary fare are similar to the mix needed to produce a dynamic investment portfolio. The concept is the same in both instances. Successful balancing of a fine meal crafted by Ms. Spicer is not dissimilar to the success you can achieve by blending just the right mix of financial assets to form a personalized investment portfolio.

Anyone can understand how a balance of flavors can make food taste better, but it's not so easy to understand the benefits of diversification in your portfolio.


But take a look at the chart below. The line on the chart is called an "efficient frontier," and it displays the risk and returns on a portfolio of stocks and bonds ranging from 100% bonds to 100% stocks. These are real returns, and the chart makes clear that moving from an undiversified portfolio of 100% bonds to a diversified portfolio of 80% bonds and 20% stocks can both increase return and lower risk.

If you would like to experience the culinary mastery of Susan Spicer, you're in luck. Spicer is still running Bayona in New Orleans, serving entrees like fennel pepper-crusted lamb loin and sautéed pompano. Spicer has also written a book, Orleans, detailing her signature dishes for adventurous home chefs.

If you need help mastering balance in your investment portfolio, click here to signup to receive a call from one of the seasoned professionals at my family run investment counsel firm, Richard C. Young & Co., Ltd. You will receive a free portfolio review with absolutely no obligation.

An Efficient Frontier

The Power of Diversification



Copyright: Young Research & Publishing Inc.

Remember This to Survive 2019 Market Turmoil

The new year is here, and America's stock markets are weathering some turmoil after ending 2018 mired in volatility. There are some concerns about the future earnings potential of companies currently making up big parts of the major stock indexes. Apple's current trouble is just the most recent example.

Back in September of 2012, I reminded readers of one simple fact that can help them through hard times in the markets. I wrote "In the majority of cases, the price of common stocks has been influenced more markedly by the dividend rate than by the reported earnings." I continued:

Dividends Then and Now Are the Answer

While at Babson College, I studied Ben Graham's Security Analysis. I still return to it regularly. In Chapter 35, Ben Graham writes, "For the vast majority of common stocks, the dividend record and prospects have always been the most important factor controlling investment quality and value.... In the majority of cases, the price of common stocks has been influenced more markedly by the dividend rate than by the reported earnings. In other words, distributed earnings have had a greater weight in determining market prices than have retained and reinvested earnings." Graham concludes with, "Since the market value in most cases has depended primarily upon the dividend rate, the latter could be held responsible for nearly all the gains ultimately realized by investors."

Always Keep It Simple Made sense to me in the sixties and continues to make sense to me today. In fact, I attribute most of the success I have had in the investment industry to what I learned from Ben Graham nearly five decades ago. To keep track of dividends today, I rely on the same S&P Stock Guide [Ed. note: the S&P Stock Guide is no longer published.] that I relied upon when I began in business. Keep it simple and good things happen every time.

In 2019, Keep it Simple, and remain focused on your dividends.

In 2019, Give Me Cash

That's my investment creed. I don't mind when markets are down as long as I'm still getting paid. Regular dividends and interest are succor to any investor when capital appreciation is

wiped out at the whim of the markets.

In January of 2012, I explained my investment creed, writing:

So the investment environment today is cloudy and laced with sinkholes and other entrapments. Your mandate is armadillolike self-protection both on the portfolio front and the personal security front. I invest a substantial portion of my personal wealth in liquid portfolio assets I can jockey around at a moment's notice. I have, what are for me, huge positions in blue-chip stocks, fixed income, gold, and foreign currencies. I will continue to add to these positions. I care about asset protection and purchasing power protection—period. If some pleasing capital appreciation comes my way, great, but I do not invest with price appreciation as anything but an afterthought. Give me my interest and dividends and protect my capital and leave me alone. That's my investment creed.

Make "Give Me Cash" your New Year's resolution. Set your portfolio on course for dividends and interest payments you can use to fund your retirement, or to unlock the power of compounding through reinvestment.

Happy New Year!

Can You Afford a 50% Loss?


Can You Afford a 50% Loss? That's the question I asked readers in March 2014. I wrote:

Can You Afford a 50% Loss?

It's impossible to have it all ways. In order to craft an investment portfolio that can act as an all-weather armadillo, you must be willing to forgo potentially substantial upside rewards to balance against the horror of a downside wipeout. If you are retired or saving for retirement in the not-toodistant future, you can easily get a knot in your stomach when you look at the basic math of downside portfolio protection. By example, when you lose 50% on an investment, you must make 100% the next trip to the plate just to get back even. And that's without considering the negative drag of expenses and taxes on your gain, as well as the fact that you have not earned enough net-net to make my mandated 1% quarterly draw. I cannot impress upon you enough how ugly things can get-and fast. For a big percentage of investors, the mindset to take a deliberate and laser-focused armadillo-like approach is never achieved. For this unfortunate crowd, the ticking time bomb has already been set. What awaits is the explosion and ensuing financial carnage for the sad family.

The tragedy of big losses in an investment portfolio is felt most by those with the least time to recover. That includes retirees and those about to retire. You don't have the luxury of a steady paycheck to rebuild savings, or the time to wait for markets to recover. Your livelihood in retirement is your portfolio. You can see the pickle investment losers find themselves in on my chart of the arithmetic of portfolio losses below. Each light blue loss has a corresponding dark blue gain that must be generated in order to simply get a portfolio back to even. If you're entering retirement soon, or you're already enjoying life after work, it's no longer the time to take chances. Invest with prudence.

The Arithmetic of Portfolio Losses



Copyright: Young Research & Publishing Inc.

Here's the *Minimum* You Should Invest in Fixed-Income

Back in May of 2002 I warned readers of the dangers of market timing, and of investing too much of their portfolio in equities. I wrote:

Your Focus: Dividends & Interest

In this issue, I re-emphasize why I think conservative investors must focus laser-like on fixed-income interest and dividends from common stocks. No matter what hype you read in the media or hear from brokers, stocks in general are not cheap—far from it. When all you get from the average big company stock is a 1.5% yield and you pay nearly 30 times

earnings in the process, you are not looking at bargains. As you know, I do not invest for my own account on where I think the market is going, nor do I advise market prognostication for you. If Warren Buffett or Charlie Munger or Martin Whitman or Mike Holland or Jack Bogle don't do it, and Ben Graham never did it, I sure as heck am not advising market timing for you.

Counterbalancing Is King

Instead, I invest a minimum 30% in fixed-income securities and the balance (70%) primarily in value-oriented equity securities. And I emphasize dividends. That is the exact strategy I insist you adopt if you want to retire in comfort. If, instead, you and your spouse are comfortable with gutwrenching volatility, sweat-soaked sheets, and the raw nerves and foul disposition that come with sleep deprivation, just take a pass on my value-based diversification strategy.

A substantial counterbalancing fixed income position is still right for investors today. Diversification among asset classes is one of the most consistently effective ways to minimize losses in a market downturn. If you are unsure about the diversification in your portfolio, evaluate your holdings today and make the necessary adjustments.

How Can You Spot a Loser in Investing?

Back in July 1994, I wrote:

You Has It or You Don't.

Dressed in snakeskin, feathers, grease paint and radiant colors, New Orleans gris-gris musician, Dr. John, delivers his musical message. Funky, gumbo-tinged rhythms spiced with a Bayou flavor makes for a perennial Mardi Gras atmosphere when delivered by Crescent City favorites like Jessie Hill, Cyril Neville, Chuck Carbo, Allen Toussaint, and the eclectic Night Tripper, Dr. John.

When asked what makes the whole musical gumbo of New Orleans come together, Dr. John explains that it's the groove of the thing, a pulse, an inner self sort of thing. Notes the Doctor, "You either has it or you don't."

The Rhythm of Investing

Why is it that some investors you know seem to get it right most of the time, while many more do not? No rhythm, that's why. It's not hard to spot a loser in the financial markets. A loser has no plan, little perspective and less understanding of the risk/reward trade-off. There's no beat.

A winner in the financial markets, by contrast, always has a plan, has great perspective and is a keen student of the risk/reward ratio. It's the backbeat that lays down the rhythm for the foundation of New Orleans R&B as well as for successful investing. You need the foundation.

So, to spot an investing loser, look for someone with "no plan, little perspective and less understanding of the risk/reward trade-off." If you haven't developed these three critical elements of a winning investment strategy, get started today. Here are three small steps you can take today towards investment success.

- 1. Get a plan. If that means hiring an advisor, do so.
- 2. Get some perspective. A good start can be made by signing
 up for the Richard C. Young & Co., Ltd. monthly client

- letter (free even for non-clients) at
 younginvestments.com.
- 3. Get a better understanding of the risk/reward tradeoff by picking up a copy of <u>The Intelligent Investor</u> by Benjamin Graham. And if you have managed to spot an investing loser among your friends or family, the book makes a great stocking stuffer too.

Dr. John - Full Concert - 08/13/06 - Newport Jazz Festival (OFFICIAL)

Can Politics Predict the Markets in 2019?

I have written in the past that politics can have a great effect on market performance. Today America is enduring one of the most contentious political climates in memory, and at the same time the stock market has become volatile.

The best year in the four-year presidential election cycle is the year before the election. In this cycle that's 2019. Since 1875, the average performance of the S&P 500 in the calendar year before a presidential election has been 14.3%. The presidential election year itself comes in next with an average of 10.9%, and midterm election years and the year after the presidential election produced averages of 9% and 8.9% respectively.

So does that mean a roaring bull market is guaranteed in 2019? Of course not, there are no promises, no tricks, and no shortcuts in investing.

I wrote this back in 2006 (charts have been updated to reflect the subsequent years):


Politics & Stock Prices from 1959 On

The stock market likes good times. Until just recently in 2005, most investors (not you, I trust) have not had much about which to cheer. Much of the trauma this year has related to the foul media coverage President Bush has received regarding Hurricane Katrina and the Iraq war. Just how much does the political landscape affect stock prices? A whole lot. In fact, few variables rate higher on my list of stock market influences. To make my point, I have put together four historical displays starting with the year I got started with the stock market—1959. The displays depict stock market gains and losses in each of the four years of the presidential cycle.

Display #1—Election-Year Power


Anyone who tells you to pay no attention to politics as it relates to the stock market is smoking something funny. Politicians of all stripes will say just about anything to get elected, and the vote-getting bribery of politics provides a good-time feeling in almost every election year. Since 1959, a presidential election year has brought with it higher stock prices in 10 of 12 years.






Display #2-The Year After a Presidential Election

The rubber hits the road as the incoming president attempts to back up all those over-the-top campaign promises. The results are often short of the mark, and the stock market has an inand-out time of it. The stock market results in 2005—an afterelection year—have been pretty predictable.




Display #3—Two Years After a Presidential Election

This is also an in-and-out year, as electioneering is not front and center. Furthermore, tough and often unwelcome presidential decisions must be made.



Display #4—The Year Before a Presidential Election

Since the banner year of 1959, there has not been a single stock market downer in the year leading up to a presidential election. Furthermore, stocks make big gains more so than in any other year in the presidential election cycle. Since 1959, you get a 22-and-2 record of ups and downs when you pair the year before a presidential election with the election year. By contrast, pairing the two years following a presidential election gives you a not-so-hot 11-and-11 record.



Looking Ahead and Cringing

In terms of the presidential election cycle, next year has the lowest odds of success of any of the four years in the cycle. Worse yet, the year coming up, 2006 (two years following the presidential election), has the fewest number of big years.

Are You Investing in the

Armored Truck of Financial Markets?

Are your investments characterized by the flash and speed of a supercar, or the reliability and protection of a Brinks truck?

There's nothing wrong with a super powered automobile made to take on curves at maximum speed, but the power that makes those machines exciting, is also what breaks their parts. All that torque can be hard on an automobile.

Meanwhile, the massive diesel engines and reinforced steel protective bodies of armored trucks make sure its cargo reaches the destination.

Back in 1990 I called Treasuries "the armored Brinks truck of financial markets." I was responding to a subscriber's comment, here:

Subscriber Joan Howell recently contributed a nifty note on the wisdom of Treasuries. Joan writes, "I began to see a similarity in the selling of mutual funds, stocks and automobiles—a constant turnover of whatever is the fanciest, flashiest, or sexiest mode. Not that the ones at the top of the list are that bad, they just never stay permanently at number one. Then I thought of Treasuries as an armored Brinks truck: gray, square, solid, authoritative, weighing tons; no need to be 'in fashion' but always there, reliable, very profitable."

Joan's illustration is a beauty and adroitly defines the comfort level that Treasuries provide.

My family owned advisory firm, purchases treasuries for clients as a component of a balanced portfolio. If you are a retired or

soon to be retired investor with \$500,000 or more to invest looking to ease the burden of portfolio management to spend more time with your family and would like to discuss our treasury strategy during a free, no-obligation portfolio review with a seasoned member of our investment team, please fill out the form below.

Most People Aren't Measuring Performance Correctly: Here's How

When you measure performance in your portfolio, are you getting the right picture? If you are like most investors, the answer is no. Here is what I wrote in December 2013 about how you should be measuring performance:


Cycles and Investment Success

Understanding cycles is vital to your long-term investment success. Most folk intuitively understand that the economy and the financial markets go through cycles. The economy expands and then it contracts, the stock market rises and falls, interest rates go up and they go down. Cycles just come with the territory in a free market economy, but when it comes to evaluating investment performance, too many investors behave as if cycles don't exist. The mutual funds and investment managers who have earned the highest returns over a given period of time, whether it is three years or five years, gather the largest share of investors' assets.

Three or five years may seem as though it is long enough to evaluate investment performance, but it is not. The proper way to evaluate investment performance is to measure over a full market cycle. Why? Because just as the economy and the broader stock market go through cycles, so too do investment strategies. More aggressive investment strategies are likely to outperform in up markets, but they are also likely to trail badly in down markets. The opposite is true of a more defensive strategy.

Commit This to Memory: Update

UPDATE: Since I originally posted this on October 11, 2018. Look at my chart to see what has happened since then.



Bond yields are rising, tech stocks look shaky, emerging market

currencies are tanking, and in the midst of the longest bull market in history, September property sales in Manhattan are down 39% compared to 2017, with median sales prices falling 9% during that time.

There are some warning signs flashing. What should you be doing now to prepare for a future downturn? In April of 2002 I wrote:

Here is a historical goody that will offer you much comfort. In every stock market downturn since 1950, with one miniexception, intermediate-term U.S. Government bonds have risen. Talk about the power of counterweighting. Talk about an Armadillo-like defense shield.

If you want to properly prepare for your retirement and retire in comfort, please commit the preceding to memory. Promise yourself you will keep your fixed-income component up to snuff. And never futz with your mix. Do not be an interestrate forecaster or a trader. Once you have your mix correctly in place, leave it alone except for occasional tinkering and pruning. You do not want to hack and chop. Portfolio management for long-term conservative investors is about ebb and flow-slow and steady and patient. Remember, successful investing is counterintuitive. For example, most investors equate successful investing with trading activity, when just the reverse is true. At the same time, they look to stock price gains as their equities benchmark. In reality, the place to begin is dividends and dividend growth. Companies that pay a decent dividend and increase the dividend year after year will have share price appreciation. It comes with the turf.